

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/468,246	12/20/1999	IAN M. WRIGHT	M-7825US	3396			
33031 7	7590 11/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER			
	STEPHENSON ASCOL	TRAN, P	TRAN, PHUC H				
BLDG. 4, SUI	OOD SPRINGS RD. TE 201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
AUSTIN, TX	78759	2666					
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/468,246		WRIGHT, IAN M.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		PHUC H TRA	N	2666				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING DATE C  - Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the lift the period for reply specified.  If NO period for reply is specified.  Failure to reply within the set of	UTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'DE THIS COMMUNICATION. aliable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. It above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied above, the maximum statutory period for extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing to the second of	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp c, cause the application	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days oire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
<u>'=</u>	)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	are rejected.	wn from consid						
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) file	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not	request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	ing sheet(s) including the correct ration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited		4) [	Interview Summary (					
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) —·		Paper No(s)/Mail Dai Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)			

·

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**DETAILED ACTION** 

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (U.S. Patent No. 6067298) in view of Yin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6490251 B2).
- With respect to claims 1, 6, 10, 12, 17, 24-25, & 32-33, Shinohara teaches an apparatus for switching packets from a network (e.g. Fig. 1), the apparatus comprising:

an ingress receiver that receives packets from the network ("inbound packets") (block 20 in Fig. 1), the packets being destined for an associated output queue (each buffers 24 corresponds to buffers 31 as show in Fig. 1);

a switch fabric coupled to receive the inbound packets from the ingress receiver (block 102 in Fig. 1);

and an output traffic manager coupled to receive packets from the switch fabric ("outbound packets") (block 110 and 111 in Fig. 1), wherein the output traffic manager includes at least one queue (queue 31 in Fig. 1), the output traffic manager selectively stores outbound packets into a selected queue (col. 7, lines 24-27) and selectively drops outbound packets when the selected queue is at a certain fullness level (col. 3, lines 37-44). Shinohara teaches the output traffic manager communicates to the ingress receiver to suspend. Shinohara fails to teach the output traffic manager communicates to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets destined for

Application/Control Number: 09/468,246

Art Unit: 2666

Page 3

that queue. Yin from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the dropping packets when receives a feedback information (col. 6, lines 15-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the dropping method of Yin into Shinohara at the input buffer of Shinohara to reduce the traffic load and during the congested period.

- With respect to claims 2, 11, & 16, Shinohara also teaches wherein the output traffic manager identifies at least the designation of imminently droppable or dropped outbound packets, and wherein the ingress receiver drops inbound packets based on an identified designation (e.g. output data controller monitors buffers and communicates back to input data controller, col. 4, lines 5-17).
- With respect to claims 3-5, 18-20, 26-28, and 34-36, Shinohara discloses wherein the designation comprises a port address to the network, or a class of service or virtual private network (e.g. the information of cells as Fig. 2).
- With respect to claims 7, 22, 30, & 38, Shinohara teaches wherein the ingress receiver discontinues inbound packet drop after a predetermined time (col. 14, lines 38-46).
- With respect to claims 8, 13, 21, 29, & 37, Shinohara discloses wherein the output traffic manager uses the switch fabric to communicate to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets (block 102 in Fig. 1).
- With respect to claims 9, & 14, Shinohara also discloses wherein the output traffic manager uses a dedicated communications bus to communicate to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets (line 70 in Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/468,246

1);

- With respect to claims 15, 23, & 31, Shinohara teaches a method of reducing packet traffic through a switching fabric (e.g. the method controlling the buffering as Fig. 1), the method comprising:

receiving packets from a network ("inbound packets") (block 20 in Fig. 1); transmitting each packet to the switching fabric (e.g. cells go through block 102 in Fig.

selectively queuing packets from the switching fabric (queues 103 in Fig. 1);

detecting imminent or active dropping of packets ("dropped packets") due to a queue
being full (block 115);

signaling to drop inbound packets destined for the queue (line 60 in Fig. 1); and dropping inbound packets destined for the queue (col. 4, lines 5-17).

Shinohara fails to teach the output traffic manager communicates to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets destined for that queue. Yin from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the dropping packets when receives a feedback information (col. 6, lines 15-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the dropping method of Yin into Shinohara at the input buffer of Shinohara to reduce the traffic load and during the congested period.

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2666

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form PTO 892.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t October 28, 2004

DANG TON PRIMARY EXAMINED